

REMARKS

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-14 are pending and under consideration. No new matter is introduced through the various amendments.

II. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1-14 UNDER 35 U.S.C. 102(b) AS BEING ANTICIPATED BY TEITELBAUM (U.S. Patent 5,872,834).

Claim 1 recites "retrieving personal information having biological information matching the received biological information and of changing terminal subscriber identification information in the retrieved personal information into the received terminal subscriber identification information" at lines 9-11 and "transferring the subscribed terminal number in the retrieved personal information and the received terminal subscriber identification information to an exchange and of requesting position information registration " at lines 13-15. Nothing has been cited in Teitelbaum that teaches or suggests what is recited in claim 1, as shown above. Instead, Teitelbaum merely teaches searching for user preferences based on matches biometrics. Such preferences include "caller ID enabled/disabled, voice mail indicator (message waiting), speed dials, screen calls, phone features, long distance provider, audio volume, and feature/service privileges" as described in column 7, lines 50-53 of Teitelbaum. Teitelbaum is silent with respect to "transferring the subscribed terminal number in the retrieved personal information and the received terminal subscriber identification information to an exchange and of requesting position information registration" as recited in claim 1 and thus this feature is not taught or suggested in Teitelbaum.

In addition, claim 1 is amended to recite a method of registering the position of a wireless terminal equipment, comprising ... transferring the subscribed terminal number in the retrieved personal information and the received terminal subscriber identification information to an exchange and of requesting position information registration which enables call in and call out as a wireless terminal equipment having the subscribed terminal number.

The Examiner is reminded that anticipation requires the presence in a single prior art reference the disclosure of each and every element of the claimed invention, arranged as in the claim. It appears as if the Examiner is selectively choosing various portions of Teitelbaum and correlating these selections with various recitations of claim 1. However, Applicants respectfully

submit that these selections of Teitelbaum do not directly describe the various recitations of currently amended claim 1, and accordingly submit the Examiners correlations are improper.

In the response to arguments section of the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner asserts, "in at least one embodiment of the Teitelbaum invention, a hotel telephone system can be configured to use biometric information in a manner similar to the cellular phone embodiments previously identified (e.g. col. 8, lines 12-63). Once registered, a guest can use any phone, be it located in the guest's room or in the hotel lobby, and configure said phone to behave as the guest's personal phone line (col. 8, lines 20-35)."

Further to the foregoing description, Applicants respectfully submit that Teitelbaum relates primarily to wired phones. This assertion is supported by Teitelbaum which states that a salesman, traveling on business, desires the comforts of an office phone. After breakfast, a call is typically made from a cellular phone, a pay phone, by requesting use of an outside line at a hotel employee's desk, or by returning to a hotel room. None of these options is truly satisfactory. (Teitelbaum column 8, lines 34-39). Accordingly, Teitelbaum teaches away from the use of wireless terminal equipment.

Instead, Teitelbaum specifically states that according to the present invention, the user (hotel guest) lifts a publicly accessible phone. The user no longer needs to return to their hotel room to make a call; but instead, can use a phone in a hotel lobby. (Teitelbaum column 8, lines 23-33). Accordingly, Teitelbaum does not describe in the cited portions wireless terminal equipment.

Furthermore, Teitelbaum describes at column 7, line 54, to column 8, line 11, a call forwarding feature to a nearest phone which may be a cellular phone. However, the cited portion of Teitelbaum fails to describe the recitation of currently amended claim 1 of transferring the subscribed terminal number in the retrieved personal information and the received terminal subscriber identification information to an exchange and of requesting position information registration which enables call in and call out as a wireless terminal equipment having the subscribed terminal number.

The above comments are specifically directed to currently amended claim 1. However, it is respectfully submitted that the comments would be helpful in understanding various differences of various other claims over the cited reference.

Additionally, Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiners assertion that claim 5 of the present application is described in Teitelbaum at FIG. 12, and column 8, line 64, to column 9, line 10. The cited portion of Teitelbaum would not inform one of ordinary skill in the art of the

various recitations of currently amended claim 5. As discussed previously, the Applicants believe that the primary descriptions provided for in Teitelbaum relate to wired telephones.

Furthermore, within the cited portion of Teitelbaum at column 8, line 64 to column 9, line 10, Teitelbaum merely states that once a user is identified, the call is billed to the currently identified user. The cited portion of Teitelbaum further states that features that the user normally has on a cellular phone are provided once user authentication is complete. However, Teitelbaum fails to specify what these "features" are.

Accordingly, Teitelbaum fails to described at the aforementioned citation of at least the recitation of currently amended claim 1 of transferring the subscribed terminal number in the retrieved personal information and the received terminal subscriber identification information to an exchange and of requesting position information registration which enables call in and call out as a wireless terminal equipment having the subscribed terminal number.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection is overcome.

III. IDS

An IDS was filed April 5, 2004. In the Office Action mailed January 22, 2007, the Examiner initialed items AG-AI and signed form PTO-1449 of the filed IDS. However, the Examiner did not initial item AK of the form PTO-1449. A copy of the reference corresponding to item AK of the form PTO-1449 is listed in Public Pair with a mail room date of April 5, 2004.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to submit a signed copy of form PTO-1449 with item AK initialed.

IV. CONCLUSION

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

Serial No. 10/716,397

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: October 24, 2007

By: David Moore
David E. Moore

Registration No. 59,047

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501